Redacted
Exhibit A
to
Notice of Removal

EFiled: Jul 1 2005 3:02PMEDI Filing ID 6133510

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

A	A A		\ /	Λ		\sim					\sim		1
n	/I /A	ĸĸ	Υ	А	FF	cu i	"	Н	ш	1	ι.,	т	ı

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

٧.

VERIZON, INC., a Delaware corporation; MUTUAL OF OMAHA, a foreign insurance company

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff for purposes of this action can be reached by and through her attorneys at Charles Gruver III, P.A., 724 Yorklyn Road, Suite 315, Hockessin, Delaware.
- 2. Verizon, Inc. is a Delaware corporation who is the successor to Bell Atlantic, Inc. and Diamond State Telephone Company (hereinafter collectively "Verizon"). Service can be made on Verizon by serving its registered agent, Corporation Trust Company, at 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware.
- 3. Mutual of Omaha ("Mutual of Omaha") is a foreign insurance company authorized to provide insurance, including long term health care benefits, to residents of the State of Delaware. Defendant Mutual of Omaha's agent for purposes of this litigation is the Delaware Insurance Commissioner, 841 Silver Lake Boulevard, Rodney Building, Dover, Delaware 19904.

FACTS

- 4. Plaintiff's deceased husband, Hervey W. Froehlich became employed by Verizon on or around September 15, 1947. He retired as an active employee of Verizon in the early 1980s when his job responsibilities included manager of both Diamond State Telephone Company and Bell of Pennsylvania. For all relevant timeframes contained within this Compliant, Plaintiff was the spouse of Hervey W. Froehlich. Upon retirement, Mr. Froehlich began receiving certain retirement benefits including a pension from Verizon.
- 5. After retirement, Mr. Froehlich and Plaintiff, as his spouse, were offered through Verizon the opportunity to contract with Mutual of Omaha for certain long term care insurance benefits (hereinafter "Long Term Care"). Mutual of Omaha was the organization chosen by Verizon to offer such benefits to its retirees.
- 6. On or around April 1, 1990, Mr. Froehlich applied for Long Term Care benefits from Mutual of Omaha with a maximum daily benefit of \$100.00. At that time, Plaintiff, as Mr. Froehlich's spouse, also applied for similar benefits under the same insurance plan. These applications for Long Term Care insurance were approved by Mutual of Omaha and payments of the premiums were paid through an automatic deduction in Mr. Froehlich's monthly pension payment.
- 7. Mr. Froehlich passed away in July, 1999 and his monthly pension, or a portion thereof, accrued to Plaintiff as his surviving spouse. From April of 1990 through the beginning of April of 2000, Plaintiff's Long Term Care insurance premiums continued to be paid by Verizon to Mutual of Omaha via a pension

deduction.

- 8. On or around February, 2000, Plaintiff, as the surviving spouse of Mr. Froehlich, was offered by Mutual of Omaha an opportunity to increase her Long Term Care coverage by \$20.00 per day with a corresponding increase in her monthly premium. With the approval of Verizon, Plaintiff received an application from Mutual of Omaha for such additional coverage. Plaintiff completed the application for such increased benefits on or around February 9, 2000 as shown in attached Plaintiff's Exhibit A.
- 9. By correspondence dated April 10, 2000, Plaintiff was specifically advised by Mutual of Omaha that Plaintiff's application for increased Long Term Care coverage was approved and became effective April 1, 2000. See attached Plaintiff's Exhibit B.
- 10. Under the February 2000 application completed by Plaintiff, and accepted, implicitly or expressly by Verizon and Mutual of Omaha, payment of the premium for such Long Term Care would be paid via a pension deduction.
- 11. Plaintiff heard nothing more on this matter until on or around October of 2003, when, because of health deterioration, she applied for benefits under the Long Term Care plan. On or around November 10, 2003, Plaintiff was admitted to a nursing home which should have entitled Plaintiff to receive Long Term Care benefits. On information and belief applicable Long Term Care benefits were to begin 3 months after her admission into a nursing home.
 - 12. On or around November of 2003, Plaintiff was advised by Mutual of

Omaha that no Long Term Care coverage existed in any form or in any amount, and had not existed since the April of 2000, for failure to pay the monthly premium.

- 13. Mutual of Omaha had never advised Plaintiff at any time after accepting her application for increased Long Term Care coverage that such coverage was in jeopardy or had been terminated for failure to pay premiums.
- If the premiums were not paid, it was due either to the failure of Defendant Verizon to make such payments and/or Defendant Mutual of Omaha's failure to properly credit such payments on behalf of Plaintiff.

COUNT I - SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates here in by reference the allegations found in paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
- 16. As a result of Verizon's failure to pay over to Mutual of Omaha the required monthly premium to pay the long term health care benefits, or, alternatively, Defendant Mutual of Omaha's failure to correctly apply such payments being made by Verizon for such Long Term Care benefits, Plaintiff has been denied Long Term Care coverage since she was admitted into a nursing home on or around November 10, 2003.
- 17. Alternatively, Mutual of Omaha breached its contractual responsibility, whether expressed or implied, to notify Plaintiff that her Long Term Care coverage was in jeopardy at any time since the spring of 2000 for failure to pay the required premiums thereby causing Plaintiff to be unaware that premium payments were not being timely made.

18. Because it is unknown as to how long Plaintiff will need Long Term Care coverage, it is impossible to calculate the extent of monetary damages for such breach by Defendants, thereby necessitating specific performance. As such, Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations found in paragraphs 1 through 18 above.
- 20. If Defendants had not breached their contracts with Plaintiff, Plaintiff would have received daily Long Term Care coverage benefits of \$120 from on or around February 10, 2004 to the entry of judgment in this action. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to incidental damages equal to the amount of \$120 per day from on or around February 10, 2004 until judgment of specific performance is ordered by this Court.

COUNT III - NEGLIGENCE

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations found in paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. Defendants were negligent in failing to properly credit Long Term Care premium payments, or pay over the required Long Term Care benefits and/or by failing to notify Plaintiff that her Long Term Care coverage was in jeopardy for failure to properly pay the required premiums. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to \$120 per day from on or around February 10, 2004 until she no longer needs such benefits.

COUNT IV - PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations found in 23. paragraphs 1 through 22 above.
- 24. Defendants, jointly and severally, promised Plaintiff that she would receive Long Term Care coverage and that such premiums would be automatically withdrawn from her pension benefits. It was the Defendants' reasonable expectation that Plaintiff would forebear purchasing other similar benefits from another source. Plaintiff reasonably relied on that promise to her detriment and did not secure such coverage elsewhere. Defendants' promise is binding because injustice can only be avoided by its enforcement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- Α. Plaintiff be awarded specific performance of contract(s) for Long Term Care coverage as applied for and accepted effective April, 2000 in the amount of \$120 per day.
- B. The Court awarded incidental monetary damages of \$120 per day from on or around February 10, 2004, until the entry of specific performance is granted by the Court or until she no longer needs such benefits.
- C. Alternatively, that the Defendants, jointly and severally, be ordered to pay damages to Plaintiff in the amount of \$120 per day from on or around February 10, 2004, until she no longer needs such benefits based on Defendants' negligence and/or promissory estoppel.
- D. Defendants be responsible to pay all fees and costs of Plaintiff incurred in this action, including attorney fees; and

E. The Court award such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

CHARLES GRUVER III, P.A.

/s/ Charles Gruver III (#433)

Charles Gruver, III Suite 315 724 Yorklyn Road Hockessin, DE 19707 (302) 239-3911 Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: July 1, 2005

Mutual of Omaha Insurance • Home Office: Mutual of Omaha Plaza, Omaha, Nebraska 68175 • (402) 342-7600



EFiled: Jul 1 2005 3:02PMED Filing ID 6133510

April 10, 2000

Mary Froehlich 334 Devon Way West Chester, PA 19380

> GMLC-2V65 Division 00001 Applicant Mary Froehlich

Dear Ms. Froehlich,

We have received your request to increase your original Maximum Daily Benefit by \$20 in accordance with the Benefit Increase Provision of your certificate.

The requested increase has been approved and will become effective on April 1, 2000. The additional premium for this increase is \$50.40 and your total monthly premium will now be \$158.40.

If you were required to complete a health application, a copy for your records is attached.

If you have questions, or if we can be of any service, please call the Long-Term Care Customer Service Line at 1-800-877-1052 from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. Central Time Monday through Friday (except holidays).

Thank you for your continued coverage with Mutual of Omaha.

Sincerely,

Mutual of Omaha Group Long-Term Care GUIS 16 FR 10

Filing ID 6133510 tass Effective D

Benefit Increase Option Application

Group Name Bell Atlantic Policy Number	GMLC-2V65	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	•
1. Applicant Information Name MARY A. FROEHLICH Social Security No.	GDMS	15 FEB	90'
Address 334 DEVON WAY			
City WEST CHESTER State PA Zip	Code 19	380	
Daytime Telephone Number. (6/0) 436 - 6363 Date of Birth	-		
Height 5'2" Weight //5 Sex F	•	••	•.
2. Current Premium Payment Method (Check One) Payroll Deduction		ATTENTION S1 - GROUP INDIVIDION MLUSSE21	PLEASE RET
	· .		ORM THE
3. Amount of Increase Being Applied For			
\$20 ·			
4. HEALTH INFORMATION (a) In the past five years, have you ever had, been advised by a physician that you had or received treatment for: (Circle conditions answered "Yes" and give details on reverse side.)		Yes	No
(1) High blood pressure, chest pain, heart attack or stroke?		B	
(2) Alzheimer's disease, seizures or convulsions, paralysis, mental or nervous disorder, or brain disease or disorder?		0	G ^
(3) Cancer, leukemia, malignant growth or any form of tumor?			
(4) Diabetes, kidney disease or disorder, or any other disorder of the urinary system?		Ö	<u>-</u>
(5) Asthma, emphysema or any lung disease or other respiratory disorder?			B
(6) Arthritis, neuritis, rheumatism, gout or any disease of, disorder or injury to the back, spine, bones, muscles or joints?		0	.0
(7) Alcohol abuse, drug abuse, Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS or AIDS Related Complex (ARC)?)		
11651GA-M-EZ BIO		ė	

\$50.40

(a) I	(8) Any loss of hearing, or los limb, hand or foot? Have you ever used a wheelch; i.e., braces, prosthesis, etc.)? Within the past 12 months, have been confined to a hospital, f. 'Yes,' give details below. Within the past five years, have bedily injury not listed above?	0 G				
Ques No.	Condition, Injury, Symptoms of III Health or Findings of Examination (if Operation Performed, State Type)	Month and Year	Duration	Degree of Recovery	Name, Address and ZIP Code of Hospital and Attending Physician	
4/03(1)	TAKES ALTTACE BUT		6 yes +	100%	DR. STADLIN	1
	DOSEN'T HAUE HIGH				PAOL 1 HOSPITAL	
	BLOOD '				PAULI, 8A	
138	# / 78 As OF 2/28/00	•	·			
((supervision? (If any question is (I) Get in or out of bed (2) Take medications (3) Eat, prepare meals (5) for "No" answers:	Yes No C O C O	,		Yes No De C	
-						
egin unt	or an increase in my Long-Ter il Mutual of Omaha approves and complete to the best of my plete. I understand I am not el	m Care Maxim the increase. I h knowledge and i	cave given the ab belief. I know th	for myself. I used to east the increase of	obtain this increase. The could be void if answers	ese answers are not true
	am not currently receiving any				- .	
To: Phys	sicians, Hospitals and Other P	roviders of Heal	lth Care Services	, Insurers, Emp	loyers and Group Policy	yholders
infor Adm (c) d	may give Mutual of Omaha h mation about me. You ma inistrator. Health information lrug and alcohol use. This in the from when it is signed.	y also give thin includes all rection will be	s information to cords about: (a) se used to evalua	o Mutual of Opphysical and me the my application	maha's reinsurer or to intal health, (b) medical on. This form will be v	the Policy history and alid for 30

provided and will be made a part of my certificate. I understand the certificate is subject to all policy provisions

including payment of premium.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen J. Neuberger, being a member of the bar of this Court, do hereby certify that on August 8, 2005, I caused a two (2) copies of this Amended Exhibit, to be served on the following individuals by the means indicated:

> Charles Gruver, III, Esq. Charles Gruver, III, P.A. Suite 315 724 Yorklyn Road Hockessin, DE 19707 (Via U.S. Mail)

Karen Lee Turner, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC 4 East 8th Street, Suite 200 Wilmington, DE 19801 (Via CM/ECF)

Edward S. Rooney, Jr., Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC One International Place, 18th Floor Boston, MA 02110-2602 (Via U.S. Mail)

> /s/ Stephen J. Neuberger STEPHEN J. NEUBERGER, ESQ.

Dated: August 8, 2005